

European Regional Development Fund

* Υποδεικνύει απαιτούμενη ερώτηση





Cooperation Programme Interreg V-A Greece-Bulgaria 2014-2020

"Market Changer - MarCh" MIS Code: 5070788 Project Website: <u>http://marchproject.eu</u>

Deliverable 1.1.4 On going Evaluation

Questionnaire

The Project is co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) and by national funds of the countries participating in the Interreg V-A "Greece-Bulgaria 2014-2020" Cooperation Programme



The contents of this questionnaire are sole responsibility of the Agency for Transnational Training and Development and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the European Union, the participating countries the Managing Authority and the Join

Τίτλος χωρίς τίτλο Dear MarCh project Partners,

As you are aware of, we have planned, under **Deliverable 1.1.4** of our project, an internal project evaluation procedure in order to locate weak / strong points in the project content and structure.

In this context we are addressing the following questionnaire to you with the aim to improve potential future activities.

Please, to be completed by the Local Project Coordinator.

It won't take you more than 10 minutes to complete it!

1. A. Please, type your name

Part A: General Issues

2. 1. How appropriate do you consider the following: * (from A (very appropriate) to D (not appropriate))

Να επισημαίνεται μόνο μία έλλειψη ανά σειρά.

	А	В	С	D
Scope and objectives of the project				
Content of activities	\bigcirc			\bigcirc
Internal operational structure (management, partnership)				
External operational structure (administration, funding, controlling)				

3. 2. Mention up to 5 strong points (SP), **in priority order**:

	1st SP	2nd SP	3rd SP	4th SP	5th SP
1. Project idea					
2. Project activities					\bigcirc
3. Strategy of implementation		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
4. Partnership setting					\bigcirc
5. Administrative requirements					
6. Cooperation among partners					
7. Available funds					\bigcirc
8. Relevance of your previous experience					
9. other					

Να επισημαίνεται μόνο μία έλλειψη ανά σειρά.

4. 3. Mention up to 5 week points (WP), in priority order:

	1st WP	2nd WP	3rd WP	4th WP	5th WP
1. Project idea	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
2. Project activities					\bigcirc
3. Strategy of implementation		\bigcirc			\bigcirc
4. Partnership setting					\bigcirc
5. Administrative requirements					
6. Cooperation among partners			\bigcirc		\bigcirc
7. Available funds					
8. Relevance of your previous experience					
9. other					

Να επισημαίνεται μόνο μία έλλειψη ανά σειρά.

5. 4. Mention one success - experience in the project life time so far. *



6.	5.	Mention one failure - experience in the project life time so far. *				
-	6					
7.	6.	Make any further comments.				
Р	Part B: Suggestions – Recommendations					

8. 1. Do you think the project demands drastic interventions, so as for it to comply
with: (All ticks possible)

Να επισημαίνεται μόνο μία έλλειψη ανά σειρά.

	Yes	No
a) The timetable		
b) Resources' absorption		
c) Quantity of outputs		
d) Quality of results	\bigcirc	\bigcirc

9. 2. Choose up to 3 (in priority order) interventions that should the project make/comply.

Να επισημαίνεται μόνο μία έλλειψη ανά σειρά.

	1st	2nd	3rd
a) Reduce administrative effort			
b) Hold more transnational meetings			
c) Provide more support to partners			
d) Strengthen coordination between partners			
e) Care for sufficient flow of resources			

10. 3. Write down any comment you may have.

Αυτό το περιεχόμενο δεν έχει δημιουργηθεί και δεν έχει εγκριθεί από την Google.

Google Φόρμες